

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States 'Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.                             | FILING DATE                              | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/568,363                                  | 03/24/2006                               | Javier Marti Sendra  | MARTISENDRAETALIPC  | Γ 1159           |
| 25889<br>WILLIAM CO                         | 25889 7590 01/17/2007<br>WILLIAM COLLARD |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |
| COLLARD &                                   |  |                      | RAHLL, JERRY T      |                  |
| 1077 NORTHERN BOULEVARD<br>ROSLYN, NY 11576 |  |                      | - ART UNIT          | PAPER NUMBER     |
| ROSLIN, NI                                  | 11370                                    |                      | 2874                |                  |
|   |  |                      |                     |                  |
| SHORTENED STATUTOR                          | RY PERIOD OF RESPONSE                    | MAIL DATE            | DELIVERY MODE       |                  |
| 3 MC  | ONTHS                                    | 01/17/2007           | PAPER               |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

|   | Application No.   | Applicant(s)  |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
|   | 10/568,363  | MARTI SENDRA ET AL.   |  |  |  |  |
| Office Action Summary   | Examiner  | Art Unit  |  |  |  |  |
|   | Jerry T. Rahll  | 2874  |  |  |  |  |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app<br>Period for Reply  | ears on the cover sheet with the c  | orrespondence address   |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE | N.<br>nely filed<br>the mailing date of this communication.<br>D (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Fe</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>  | action is non-final.<br>nce except for formal matters, pro  |   |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  | r   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 February 2006</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).   |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex  | = : :   | •   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies of the certified copies of the priorical bureau</li> </ul>   | s have been received.<br>s have been received in Applicati<br>ity documents have been receive<br>i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  | ion No<br>ed in this National Stage   |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | Al 🗀 Interview Community  | (PTO 413)   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/24/06.</li> </ul>   | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:  | ate   |  |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/568,363 Page 2

Art Unit: 2874

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Information Disclosure Statement

1. The information disclosure statement filed March 24, 20056 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

#### **Drawings**

2. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 3 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. It is not clear what "greater bandwidth obtaining" is relative to. For examination

purposes, the Claim will be considered to require excitation of the even mode of the coupler to produce half-power signals.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent No. 6,130,969 to Villeneuve et al.
- Regarding Claim 1, Villeneuve et al. describes a method of dividing a guided EM signal into two signals (identified as transmission and forward drop) by exciting a coupler (see Figure 3) made by disposing two parallel coupled cavity waveguides (304, 306) close to one another and implement in photonic crystals, where the two guides are physically separated (see Figure 3 and Columns 4-5). While Villeneuve et al. does not specifically describe the guides as curved, the described guides "can be" curved during manufacture. Further, Villeneuve et al. does not specifically describe the divided signals as half-power signals. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use alter the resonator system (307) configuration variables to cause the divided signals to be half-power, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. In re Boesch, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980). The motivation for doing so would have been to route multiple signals.

Application/Control Number: 10/568,363 Page 4

Art Unit: 2874

8. Regarding Claim 2, Villeneuve et al. describes exciting the odd mode (200) of the coupler. While Villeneuve et al. does not specifically describe the divided signals having 180 deg phase difference, such a phase difference would inherently result from exciting the odd mode as described.

- 9. Regarding Claim 3, Villeneuve et al. describes exciting the even mode (202) of the coupler.
- 10. Regarding Claim 4, Villeneuve et al. describes the photonic crystal (302) as a 2D crystal.
- 11. Regarding Claim 5, Villeneuve et al. describes the photonic crystal (302) as a 2D crystal.
- 12. Regarding Claim 6, Villeneuve et al. does not specifically describe the photonic crystal having a triangular lattice. However, triangular lattice photonic crystals used to form waveguide structures are well-known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use such a well-known triangular lattice crystal in the device of Villeneuve et al., since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. The motivation for doing so would have been to construct the device using well-known fabrication methods.
- 13. Regarding Claim 7, Villeneuve et al. describes the photonic crystal having a square lattice (see Column 5).

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry T. Rahll whose telephone number is (571) 272-2356. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8:30-5:30).

Art Unit: 2874

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (571) 272-2344. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jerry/Γ Rahll

1 RMM

SUNG PAK PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 5